Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445-12th Street NW Washington DC 20554

VI. FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag " I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital felevision equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

The convergence of computer and video technology can be a powerful driver in the economy. Do not stifle this growth by allowing Hollywood to control and dominate the market. Remember their cry over the introduction of VHS? Yet that drove thier business to new levels. Digital video has the same potential, and should be controlled by the user

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag

Sincerely.

Marc Williams 2010 Henderson Heights Trail Ipharetta GA 30004

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps.

As a constinuer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products. Lurge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag " Lam gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way Lenjoy television

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology. I can be more than a passive recipient of content — I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that Lenjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment. A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a cruzen and consumer of broadcast television. I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely.

Mike Venator 2720 Princeton Trace Cumming, GA 30041

Page 1 of 1 4 51 47 PM 10/23/03 5410023099

October 23 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Copps

, am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

fithe FCC issues a proadcast flag mandate. I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate proadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely

Jack Collins 2708 N Pershing Dr Apt 4 Arlington VA 22201 USA

Commissioner Michael J Copps 445 12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content — I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag

Sincerely,

MIke Colville 9640 Livenshire Di Dallas, TX 75238

Commissioner Michael J Copps 445 12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a honie movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

lefferson Davis 18087 El Nassau Dr Aurora, CO 80013

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445 12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag " I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Hank Gruemmer 19920 Foxwood Forest, # 506 Humble, TX 77338

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445-12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIATACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products. Lurge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." Lam gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way Lenjoy television.

the digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fan-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology. I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record IV to watch later; clip a small piece of IV and splice it into a home movie; send an email clip of involutely tootball game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling teason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge voir to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Simogett

Brian Goldstein 1101 Pilaa Pl Honolului 111 96825 Z003-70-23-23-357-45 (GMT) 16506181379 From

Thursday, October 23 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 145-12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Thank You for your attention to this matter

Rob Royse

Sincerely,

Rob Royse 720 Briarwood Drive Bethalto, IL 62010

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445 12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag " I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Gregg Vandivert 1215 Lake Point Dr Webster, NY 14580

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 145-t2th Street NW Washington DC 20554

A LA FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I mge the Federal communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag "I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

the digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition hy making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition. Lam very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology. I can be more than a passive recipient of content—I can modify, create, and participate. I can record IV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that Lenjoy

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting what compelling teason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely

Phillip Thompson 18 35 San Diego Road Manieda, CA 94504

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 145-12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILL

Dear Commissioner Copps.

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products. I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag " I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television."

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer it switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition. Lam very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology. I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that Lenjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A preffice TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I mge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely

Genv Cam 600-44th Ave Menominee, Mi 49858

Aprimissioner Michael J. Copps 445-12th Street NW Vashington DC 20554

14 FACSIMILE

1+31 Commissioner Copps

is a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying largital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching lossn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

The clitical Lamivery concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology. I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify create, and participate. I can world TV to watch later clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my hild's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's spartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable flexible, and -xc1 ng what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV increase is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television. I urge you to promote the digital transition by pposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely

Cionald W Syfrett 138 Mill Pointe Dr Callas GA 30157

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445-12th Street NW Washington, DC 20554

VIALACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps

I truely believe that the "broadcast flag" will restrict the ways that I currently watch television. I want to be able to space-shift and time-shift any felevision show that is broadcast over public airwayes into my home.

 $\mbox{Additionally}$ Ubelieve that the Broadcast flag will give too much power to the MPAA - allowing them to hetate—acceptable—technological progress through the approval of various restriction mechanisms

as a citizen and consumer of broadcast felevision. Lurge you to oppose the broadcast flag

Sincerely

Robert Schommer Woodinville, WA

Sincerely

Robert H. Schommer 18421 146th Ave NE Woodinville, WA 98072

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445 12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content — I can modify, create, and participate—I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment—The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Eric Blomstrom 99 Linwood Street New Britain, CT 06052

o rimissionei Michael J. Copps 45 12th Street NW voshington DC 20554

JA FACSIMILE

Light Commissioner Copps.

o remunications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag" I am gravely concerned that a proadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying agital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching coesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room at the transition of the transition o

In addition. I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology. I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my mild's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's spartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

It is move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer a juipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by apposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely

Tom Strade 2 Breezewood Drive Orchard Park, NY 14127

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445 12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag " I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative; or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

David Suchaire D1967 Rio Vista Rd Parker, AZ 85344

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445-12th Street. N.W. Washington, DC 20554

VIA LACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps.

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag "I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer it switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology. I can be more than a passive recipient of content — I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that Lenjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a critizen and consumer of broadcast television. I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely.

William G. Sarris H. J.D. 601 White Plains Road Trumbull. CT 06611

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445-12th Street NW 773Shir gton: DC 20554

1/A FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag " I am gravely concerned that broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television."

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying aig tal television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

n addition. Lam very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology. I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can ecord TV to watch later clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my hild's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's upertment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable. flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by apposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely

ar A Garber 10[°] Shannon Chase Ln Fairburn, GA 30213

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 145-12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIA LACSIMILI

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products. I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag " I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer it switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology. I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettict TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a critizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Naomi Dekker 6851 Huron Denver, CO 80221

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445 (2th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag " I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room to yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later; clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie; send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my miend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Sara And Michael Engelstad 7557 Bell Lane Inver Grove Heights, MN 55077

30101310 110111

Thursday, October 23 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445 12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag " I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

the digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative; or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag

Sincerely,

lames A. Nulf, Sr 1216 Crescent Ave Gastonia, NC 28052

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 145-12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag " I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With todays technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later; clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my triend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Stroud 865 Caribou Terrace Brentwood, CA 94513

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445 12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content — I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative; or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

David Engquist 3335 NW Spencer Street Portland, OR 97229

commissioner Michael J. Copps 445-12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps.

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products. I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag " I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later: clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie; send an email clip of my child's tootball game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more empoyable flexible and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a crizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Smorely

Matthew Goodwin 249 Mailboro Rd 1rov, NH 03465

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 145-12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag " I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition. I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later; clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie; send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital mansition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Suncerely

M Guido 137 Lamport Blvd Staten Island, NY 10305